

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/625,544

Applicant(s)

HEBERT ET AL.

Examiner

EDMUND H. LEE

Art Unit

1732

All Participants:(1) EDMUND H. LEE.(2) KEN LOWE.**Status of Application:** AFTER-FINAL

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 January 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

THOSE PENDING IN THE CASE

Claims discussed:

THOSE PENDING IN THE CASE

Prior art documents discussed:

THOSE CITED AS PRIOR ART OF RECORD

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
DISCUSSED THE CLARIFICATION OF CLAIM LANGUAGE IN ORDER TO PLACE THE INSTANT CASE IN
CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. CHANGES WILL BE MADE BY EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT (SEE
ATTACHMENT). CHANGES INCLUDE: INSERTING THE WORD --COATED-- AFTER "PLACING THE" (CL 26, LN
9)..